

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/747,992	12/30/2003	Jaimee Terese Simone	C6663(C)	6575
759	7590 06/29/2005 EXAMINER		INER	
UNILEVER			HYLTON, ROBIN ANNETTE	
PATENT DEPARTMENT 45 RIVER ROAD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
EDGEWATER, NJ 07020		·	3727	
			DATE MAILED: 06/29/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/747,992	SIMONE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robin A. Hylton	3727	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	side(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access	vn from consideration. election requirement. epted or b) objected to by the E		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the c	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` '	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage	
· ·			
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6-4-04.	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Pate 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/747,992

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains the phrase "the present invention is directed". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Baar (US 2,394,135). See the drawing figures and column 2, lines 47-57.
- 5. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Thompson (US 4,627,548). See figure 3, column 3, lines 27-30 and figures 4 and 5, column 3, lines 48 and 49.
- 6. Claims 1-3, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Simard (US 4,776,476). See column 1, lines 55-63 and column 2, line 47. The drawings show the covering is at least 20%.

Application/Control Number: 10/747,992 Page 3

Art Unit: 3727

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thompson.

Thompson teaches the closure is made of plastic (column 3, line 24), but is silent regarding the specifics of the plastic material.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the closure of any of claimed plastic material, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice.

9. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baar.

Baar teaches the closure is made of plastic (column 2, line 10-14), but is silent regarding the specifics of the plastic material.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the closure of any of claimed plastic material, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice.

10. Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Simard.

Simard teaches the claimed closure except is silent regarding the specific thickness of the covering material. It is known that paper can be of various thicknesses.

Application/Control Number: 10/747,992

Art Unit: 3727

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the covering of Simard of a thickness of 2-8 mils. Doing so is an obvious matter of design choice expedient for manufacturing a tearable closure covering.

Conclusion

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Various prior art closures teaching features similar to those disclosed and/or claimed are cited for their disclosures.
- 12. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (703) 872-9306. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 3720 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.
- 13. It is called to applicant's attention that if a communication is faxed before the reply time has expired, applicant may submit the reply with a "Certificate of Facsimile" which merely asserts that the reply is being faxed on a given date. So faxed, before the period for reply has expired, the reply may be considered timely. A suggested format for a certificate follows:

The	I hereby certify that this correspondence for Application Serial No is being facsimiled to U.S. Patent and Trademark Office via fax number (703) 872-9306 on the date shown below:					
	Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate					
	Signature					
	Date					

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robin Hylton whose telephone number is (571) 272-4540. The examiner

Application/Control Number: 10/747,992

Art Unit: 3727

can normally be reached Monday - Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee Young, can be reached on (571) 272-4549.

If in receiving this Office Action it is apparent to applicant that certain documents are missing, e.g., copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, form PTO-892, etc., requests for copies of such papers should be directed to Errica Miller at (571) 272-4370.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RAH June 24, 2005

> Robin A. Hylton Primary Examiner GAU 3727